

A COMBINATORIAL DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFINE GINDIKIN-KARPELEVICH FORMULA OF TYPE $A_n^{(1)}$

SEOK-JIN KANG¹, KYU-HWAN LEE, HANSOL RYU², AND BEN SALISBURY

ABSTRACT. The classical Gindikin-Karpelevich formula appears in Langlands' calculation of the constant terms of Eisenstein series on reductive groups and in Macdonald's work on p -adic groups and affine Hecke algebras. The formula has been generalized in the work of Garland to the affine Kac-Moody case, and the affine case has been geometrically constructed in a recent paper of Braverman, Finkelberg, and Kazhdan. On the other hand, there have been efforts to write the formula as a sum over Kashiwara's crystal basis or Lusztig's canonical basis, initiated by Brubaker, Bump, and Friedberg. In this paper, we write the affine Gindikin-Karpelevich formula as a sum over the crystal of generalized Young walls when the underlying Kac-Moody algebra is of affine type $A_n^{(1)}$. The coefficients of the terms in the sum are determined explicitly by the combinatorial data from Young walls.

0. INTRODUCTION

The classical Gindikin-Karpelevich formula originated from a certain integration on real reductive groups [7]. When Langlands calculated the constant terms of Eisenstein series on reductive groups [20], he considered a p -adic analogue of the integration and called the resulting formula the *Gindikin-Karpelevich formula*. In the case of GL_{n+1} , the formula can be described as follows: let F be a p -adic field with residue field of q elements and let N_- be the maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ with maximal torus T . Let f° denote the standard spherical vector corresponding to an unramified character χ of T , let $T(\mathbf{C})$ be the maximal torus in the L -group $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F)$, and let $z \in T(\mathbf{C})$ be the element corresponding to χ via the Satake isomorphism. Then the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula is given by

$$\int_{N_-(F)} f^\circ(\mathbf{n}) \, d\mathbf{n} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \frac{1 - q^{-1}z^\alpha}{1 - z^\alpha}, \quad (0.1)$$

where Δ^+ is the set of positive roots of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$. The formula appears in Macdonald's study on p -adic groups and affine Hecke algebras as well [27], and the product side of (0.1) is also known as Macdonald's c -function.

In the paper [6], Garland generalized Langlands' calculation to affine Kac-Moody groups and obtained an affine Gindikin-Karpelevich formula as a product over $\Delta^+ \cap w^{-1}(\Delta^-)$ for each $w \in W$, where Δ^+ (resp. Δ^-) is the set of positive

Date: February 25, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B37; Secondary 05E10.

Key words and phrases. crystal, Gindikin-Karpelevich formula, generalized Young wall.

¹ This work was supported by NRF Grant # 2011-0017937 and NRF Grant # 2011-0027952.

² This work was supported by BK21 Mathematical Sciences Division and NRF Grant # 2011-0027952.

(resp. negative) roots of the corresponding affine Kac-Moody algebra and W is the Weyl group. In a recent paper of Braverman, Finkelberg, and Kazhdan [3], the authors interpreted the classical Gindikin-Karpelevich formula in a geometric way, and generalized the formula to affine Kac-Moody groups and obtained another version of affine Gindikin-Karpelevich formula, which has an additional “correction factor” in the product side.

On the other hand, in the works of Brubaker, Bump and Friedberg [4], Bump and Nakasuji [5], and McNamara [29], the product side of the classical Gindikin-Karpelevich formula in type A_n was written as a sum over the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$. (For the definition of a crystal, see [8, 14].) More precisely, they proved

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \frac{1 - q^{-1}z^\alpha}{1 - z^\alpha} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)} G_i^{(e)}(b) q^{\langle \text{wt}(b), \rho \rangle} z^{-\text{wt}(b)},$$

where ρ is the half-sum of the positive roots, $\text{wt}(b)$ is the weight of b , and the coefficients $G_i^{(e)}(b)$ are defined using so-called BZL paths or Kashiwara’s parametrization. As shown in [18] by H. Kim and K.-H. Lee, one can also use Lusztig’s parametrization of canonical bases ([24, 25]) and the product can be written as

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \frac{1 - q^{-1}z^\alpha}{1 - z^\alpha} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)} (1 - q^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(\phi_i(b))} z^{-\text{wt}(b)}, \quad (0.2)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\phi_i(b))$ is the number of nonzero entries in Lusztig’s parametrization $\phi_i(b)$. The equation (0.2) was proved for all finite roots systems Δ , and was generalized in a subsequent paper [17] to the affine Kac-Moody case using the results of Beck, Chari, and Pressley [1] and Beck and Nakajima [2].

The use of crystals connects the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula to combinatorial representation theory, since much work has been done on realizations of crystals through various combinatorial objects (e.g., [10, 11, 15, 16, 23]). Indeed, for type A_n , K.-H. Lee and Salisbury [22] expressed the right side of (0.2) as a sum over marginally large Young tableaux using J. Hong and H. Lee’s [9] description of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ and the coefficients were determined by a simple statistic $\text{seg}(b)$ of the tableau b . Furthermore, the meaning of $\text{seg}(b)$ was studied in the frameworks of Kamnitzer’s MV polytope model [10] and Kashiwara-Saito’s geometric realization [16] of the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

The goal of this paper is to extend this approach to affine type $A_n^{(1)}$ through generalized Young walls. The notion of a Young wall was first introduced by Kang [11] in his extensive study of affine crystals. In the case of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ in type $A_n^{(1)}$, J.-A. Kim and D.-U. Shin [19] considered a set of *generalized* Young walls to obtain a realization of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, while H. Lee [21] established a different realization. In this paper, we will adopt Kim and Shin’s realization and prove (Theorem 3.20)

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(\frac{1 - q^{-1}z^\alpha}{1 - z^\alpha} \right)^{\text{mult}(\alpha)} = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)} (1 - q^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(Y)} z^{-\text{wt}(Y)},$$

where $\mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ is the set of reduced proper generalized Young walls and $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ is a certain statistic on $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$.

In type $A_n^{(1)}$, the correction factor in the formula of Braverman, Finkelberg, and Kazhdan, mentioned above is given by

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} z^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} z^{j\delta}}, \quad (0.3)$$

where δ is the minimal positive imaginary root. In the last section we will write this correction factor as a sum over a subset of reduced proper generalized Young walls (Proposition 4.4), obtain an expansion of the whole product as a sum over pairs of reduced proper generalized Young walls (Corollary 4.5), and derive a combinatorial formula for the number of points in the intersection $T^{-\gamma} \cap S^0$ of certain orbits $T^{-\gamma}$ and S^0 in the (double) affine Grassmannian (Corollary 4.6).

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to A. Braverman for helpful comments.

1. GENERAL DEFINITIONS

Let $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ be an index set and let $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ be a Cartan datum of type $A_n^{(1)}$; i.e.,

- $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ is a generalized Cartan matrix of type $A_n^{(1)}$,
- $\Pi = \{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of simple roots,
- $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of simple coroots,
- $P^\vee = \mathbf{Z}h_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}h_n \oplus \mathbf{Z}d$ is the dual weight lattice,
- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} P^\vee$ is the Cartan subalgebra,
- and $P = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \lambda(P^\vee) \subset \mathbf{Z}\}$ is the weight lattice.

In addition to the above data, we have a bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P^\vee \times P \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ defined by $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ and $\langle d, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{0,j}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with this Cartan datum, and denote by $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$ the quantized universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . We denote the generators of $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$ by e_i, f_i ($i \in I$), and v^h ($h \in P^\vee$). The subalgebra of $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by f_i ($i \in I$) will be denoted by $U_v^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

A $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal is a set \mathcal{B} together with maps

$$\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \sqcup \{0\}, \quad \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}, \quad \text{wt} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow P$$

satisfying certain conditions (see [8, 13]). The negative part $U_v^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has a crystal base (see [12]) which is a $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal. We denote this crystal by $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, and denote its highest weight element by u_∞ .

Finally, we will describe the set of roots Δ for \mathfrak{g} . Since we are fixing \mathfrak{g} to be of type $A_n^{(1)}$, we may make this explicit. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{cl}} &= \{\pm(\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j) : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}, \\ \Delta_{\text{cl}}^+ &= \{\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \end{aligned}$$

to be set of classical roots and positive classical roots; i.e., roots in the root system of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{cl}} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$. The minimal imaginary root is $\delta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Then

$$\Delta_{\text{Im}} = \{m\delta : m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}, \quad \Delta_{\text{Im}}^+ = \{m\delta : m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

We have $\Delta = \Delta_{\text{Re}} \sqcup \Delta_{\text{Im}}$ and $\Delta^+ = \Delta_{\text{Re}}^+ \sqcup \Delta_{\text{Im}}^+$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\text{Re}} &= \{\alpha + m\delta : \alpha \in \Delta_{\text{cl}}, m \in \mathbf{Z}\} \\ \Delta_{\text{Re}}^+ &= \{\alpha + m\delta : \alpha \in \Delta_{\text{cl}}, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}\} \cup \Delta_{\text{cl}}^+.\end{aligned}$$

Recall $\text{mult}(\alpha) = 1$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_{\text{Re}}$ and $\text{mult}(\alpha) = n$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_{\text{Im}}$. For notational convenience, since $\text{mult}(m\delta) = n$, we write

$$\Delta_{\text{Im}}^+ = \{m_1\delta_1, \dots, m_n\delta_n : m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}\},$$

where each δ_j is a copy of the imaginary root δ .

2. GENERALIZED YOUNG WALLS

We start by defining the board on which all generalized Young walls will be built. Define

	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
\cdots	0	1	2	\cdots	0	1		(n + 3)rd row
\cdots	n	0	1	\cdots	n	0		(n + 2)nd row
\cdots	n - 1	n	0	\cdots	n - 1	n		(n + 1)st row
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	\vdots		\vdots
\cdots	0	1	2	\cdots	0	1		2nd row
\cdots	n	0	1	\cdots	n	0		1st row

(2.1)

In particular, the color of the j th site from the bottom of the i th column from the right in (2.1) is $j - i \bmod n + 1$.

Definition 2.1. A *generalized Young wall* is a collection of i -colored boxes \boxed{i} ($i \in I$) on the board (2.1) satisfying the following building conditions.

- (1) The colored boxes should be located according to the colors of the sites on the board (2.1).
- (2) The colored boxes are put in rows; that is, one stacks boxes from right to left in each row.

For a generalized Young wall Y , we define the *weight* $\text{wt}(Y)$ of Y to be

$$\text{wt}(Y) = - \sum_{i \in I} m_i(Y) \alpha_i,$$

where $m_i(Y)$ is the number of i -colored boxes in Y .

Definition 2.2. A generalized Young wall is called *proper* if for any $k > \ell$ and $k - \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{n + 1}$, the number of boxes in the k th row from the bottom is less than or equal to that of the ℓ th row from the bottom.

Definition 2.3. Let Y be a generalized Young wall and let Y_k be the k th column of Y from the right. Set $a_i(k)$, with $i \in I$ and $k \geq 1$, to be the number of i -colored boxes in the k th column Y_k .

- (1) We say Y_k contains a *removable* δ if we may remove one i -colored box for all $i \in I$ from Y_k and still obtain a generalized Young wall. In other words, Y_k contains a removable δ if $a_{i-1}(k+1) < a_i(k)$ for all $i \in I$.
- (2) Y is said to be *reduced* if no column Y_k of Y contains a removable δ .

Let $\mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ denote the set of all reduced proper generalized Young walls. In [19], Kim and Shin defined a crystal structure on $\mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ and proved the following theorem. We refer the reader to [19] for the details.

Theorem 2.4 ([19]). *We have $\mathcal{B}(\infty) \cong \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ as crystals.*

3. KOSTANT PARTITIONS

Let

$$\alpha_i^{(\ell)} = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i-\ell+1}, \quad i \in I, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq n,$$

where the indices are understood mod $n+1$.

Example 3.1. Let $n = 2$. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha_0^{(1)} = \alpha_0 & \alpha_1^{(1)} = \alpha_1 & \alpha_2^{(1)} = \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_0^{(2)} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 & \alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 & \alpha_2^{(2)} = \alpha_2 + \alpha_1. \end{array}$$

Let

$$S_1 = \left\{ (m_k \delta_k), (c_{i,\ell} \delta + \alpha_i^{(\ell)}) : \begin{array}{l} m_k > 0, 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ c_{i,\ell} \geq 0, i \in I, 1 \leq \ell \leq n \end{array} \right\}.$$

We introduce the generator $\delta^{(m)}$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and set

$$S_2 = \{ \delta^{(m)} : m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the free abelian group generated by $S_1 \cup S_2$. Consider the subgroup L of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ generated by the elements: for $m > 0$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta^{(m)} - \sum_{i \in I} (k\delta + \alpha_i^{(\ell)}), \quad m = (n+1)k + \ell, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq n; \\ \delta^{(m)} - \delta^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^n (k\delta_i), \quad m = (n+1)k. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

We set $\mathcal{G} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/L$ and let \mathcal{G}^+ be the $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -span of $S_1 \cup S_2$ in \mathcal{G} . The following observation will play an important role.

Remark 3.2. If we slightly abuse language, we may say that, in \mathcal{G} , the element $\delta^{(m)}$ is equal to the sum of $n+1$ distinct positive “roots” of equal length m whose total weight is $m\delta$. In particular, if $m = (n+1)k + \ell$ ($1 \leq \ell \leq n$), then $\delta^{(m)}$ is equal to the sum of $n+1$ distinct positive real roots of equal length m , and if $m = (n+1)k$, then $\delta^{(m)}$ is equal to the sum of $(k\delta_1), \dots, (k\delta_n), \delta^{(k)}$ of equal length m .

Example 3.3. Let $n = 2$. Then in \mathcal{G} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(1)} &= (\alpha_0) + (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2) \\
\delta^{(2)} &= (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_0) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) \\
\delta^{(3)} &= \delta^{(1)} + (\delta_1) + (\delta_2) = (\alpha_0) + (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2) + (\delta_1) + (\delta_2) \\
\delta^{(4)} &= (\delta + \alpha_0) + (\delta + \alpha_1) + (\delta + \alpha_2) \\
\delta^{(5)} &= (\delta + \alpha_0 + \alpha_2) + (\delta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_0) + (\delta + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1) \\
\delta^{(6)} &= \delta^{(2)} + (2\delta_1) + (2\delta_2) = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_0) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) + (2\delta_1) + (2\delta_2) \\
&\vdots \\
\delta^{(9)} &= \delta^{(3)} + (3\delta_1) + (3\delta_2) = (\alpha_0) + (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2) + (\delta_1) + (\delta_2) + (3\delta_1) + (3\delta_2) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{G}^+$, and write \mathbf{p} as a $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of elements in $S_1 \cup S_2$.

- (1) We say an expression of \mathbf{p} contains a *removable* δ if it contains some parts that can be replaced by $\delta^{(k)}$ for some $k > 0$.
- (2) We say an expression of \mathbf{p} is *reduced* if it does not contain a removable δ .

Let $\mathcal{K}(\infty)$ denote the set of reduced expressions of elements in \mathcal{G}^+ . We define the set \mathcal{K} of *Kostant partitions* to be the $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -span of the set S_1 in \mathcal{G}^+ . Notice that the set S_1 is linearly independent.

Definition 3.5. For $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{K}$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p})$ the number of distinct parts in \mathbf{p} .

Example 3.6. If $\mathbf{p} = 2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1) + 5(\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) + 2(\delta_1) + (\delta_2) + (\alpha_0) + 4(\alpha_1)$, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}) = 6$.

Define a *reduction map* $\psi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\infty)$ as follows: Given $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{K}$, write it as a $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of elements in S_1 . Replace $k_1 \sum_{i \in I} (\alpha_i^{(1)})$ in the expression, where k_1 is the largest possible, with $k_1 \delta^{(1)}$. The resulting expression is denoted by $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}$. Next, replace $k_2 \sum_{i \in I} (\alpha_i^{(2)})$ (or $k_2(\delta^{(1)} + (\delta_1))$ if $n = 1$), where k_2 is the largest possible, with $k_2 \delta^{(2)}$. The result is denoted by $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$. Continue this process with $\delta^{(k)}$ ($k \geq 3$) using the relations in (3.1). The process stops with $\mathbf{p}^{(s)}$ for some s . By construction, $\mathbf{p}^{(s)} \in \mathcal{K}(\infty)$, and we define $\psi(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}^{(s)}$.

Conversely, we define the *unfolding map* $\phi: \mathcal{K}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by unfolding the $\delta^{(k)}$'s consecutively. That is, given $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{K}(\infty)$, find $\delta^{(r)}$ with the largest r and replace it with the corresponding sum from (3.1). The resulting expression is denoted by $\mathbf{q}^{(r)}$. Next, replace $\delta^{(r-1)}$ with the corresponding sum from (3.1). The result is denoted by $\mathbf{q}^{(r-1)}$. Continue this process until we replace $\delta^{(1)}$ with $\sum_{i \in I} (\alpha_i^{(1)})$ and obtain $\mathbf{q}^{(1)}$. By construction, $\mathbf{q}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{K}$, and we define $\phi(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q}^{(1)}$.

It is clear from the definitions that ψ and ϕ are inverses to each other. Hence, we have proven the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *The reduction map $\psi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\infty)$ is a bijection, whose inverse is the unfolding map $\phi: \mathcal{K}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$.*

For later use, we need to describe the unfolding map ϕ more explicitly.

Lemma 3.8. For $p \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $q \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{((n+1)^p q)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (r\delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(s)}) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n ((n+1)^i q \delta_j) \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where we write $q = (n+1)r + s$, $1 \leq s \leq n$. In particular, $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{((n+1)^p q)}$ has $n+1+np$ parts.

Proof. We use induction on p . Assume that $p = 0$. Then it follows from (3.1) that

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(q)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (r\delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(s)}).$$

Now assume that $p \geq 1$. From (3.1) and the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{((n+1)^p q)}) &= \phi(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{((n+1)^{p-1} q)}) + \sum_{j=1}^n ((n+1)^{p-1} q \delta_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (r\delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(s)}) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n ((n+1)^i q \delta_j) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n ((n+1)^{p-1} q \delta_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (r\delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(s)}) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n ((n+1)^i q \delta_j) \right). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we will establish a bijection between $\mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\infty)$. For $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$, we define $N_k(Y)$ ($k \geq 1$) to be the number of boxes in the k th row of Y . We first define a map $\Psi: \mathcal{Y}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\infty)$ by describing how the blocks in a reduced proper generalized Young wall Y contribute to the parts in a reduced Kostant partition. Let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ and consider the correspondence, for $m \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$,

$$\Psi: \begin{cases} N_{(n+1)m+j}(Y) = (n+1)k & \mapsto (k\delta_j), \\ N_{(n+1)m+j}(Y) = (n+1)k + \ell & \mapsto (k\delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(\ell)}), \\ N_{(n+1)(m+1)}(Y) = (n+1)k & \mapsto \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(k)}, \\ N_{(n+1)(m+1)}(Y) = (n+1)k + \ell & \mapsto (k\delta + \alpha_n^{(\ell)}). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Then $\Psi(Y)$ is defined to be the expression \mathfrak{p} obtained by adding up all the parts prescribed by (3.3).

Lemma 3.9. For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$, we have $\Psi(Y) \in \mathcal{K}(\infty)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \Psi(Y)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{G}^+$, so it remains to show the expression of \mathfrak{p} is reduced. On the contrary, assume that \mathfrak{p} contains a removable δ . By Remark 3.2, the expression of \mathfrak{p} contains a sum of $n+1$ distinct positive ‘‘roots’’ of equal length, and the sum corresponds through (3.3) to a collection of rows of Y with equal length in non-congruent positions. Then Y contains a removable δ , which is a contradiction. Thus \mathfrak{p} does not contain a removable δ , so \mathfrak{p} is reduced. \blacksquare

Example 3.10. Let $Y = \tilde{f}_2^3 \tilde{f}_0^2 \tilde{f}_1^2 \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_0 Y_\infty$. That is, let

$$Y = \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 2 \\ & & & & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & & & & \end{array}.$$

Then $\Psi(Y) = (\delta + \alpha_0 + \alpha_2) + (\delta_2) + (\alpha_2) + (\alpha_1)$.

Now define a function $\Phi: \mathcal{K}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ in the following way. Let \mathfrak{p} be a reduced Kostant partition. To each part of the partition, we assign a row of a generalized Young wall using the following prescription. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$,

$$\Phi: \begin{cases} (k\delta_j) & \mapsto (n+1)k \text{ boxes in row } \equiv j \pmod{n+1}, \\ (k\delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(\ell)}) & \mapsto (n+1)k + \ell \text{ boxes in row } \equiv j \pmod{n+1}, \\ \delta^{(k)} & \mapsto (n+1)k \text{ boxes in row } \equiv 0 \pmod{n+1}. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

To construct the Young wall $\Phi(\mathfrak{p})$ from this data, we arrange the rows so that the number of boxes in each row of the form $(n+1)k + j$, for a fixed j , is weakly decreasing as k increases. Hence $\Phi(\mathfrak{p})$ is proper.

Lemma 3.11. *For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{K}(\infty)$, we have $\Phi(\mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$.*

Proof. We set $Y = \Phi(\mathfrak{p})$. Since \mathfrak{p} is reduced, \mathfrak{p} does not contain a removable δ . Using a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.9, we see that a removable δ of Y corresponds to a removable δ of \mathfrak{p} . Thus Y does not contain a removable δ , so $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$. \blacksquare

Example 3.12. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (\alpha_0) + (2\delta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_0) + \delta^{(3)} + (\alpha_2)$. Then

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{array}{cccccccccc} & & & & & & & & & 2 \\ & & & & & & & & & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \\ & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{array}.$$

Proposition 3.13. *The maps Ψ and Φ are bijections which are inverses to each other. In particular, we have $\mathcal{Y}(\infty) \cong \mathcal{K}(\infty)$ as sets.*

The existence of a bijection is guaranteed by the theory of Kostant partitions and crystal bases. The importance of the proposition is that we have constructed an explicit, combinatorial description of a bijection.

Proof. Assume that $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$. It is enough to check that a row j of Y is mapped onto the same stack of boxes in a row $\equiv j \pmod{n+1}$ by $\Phi \circ \Psi$, since the rows are arranged uniquely so that the number of boxes in each row of the form $(n+1)k + j$ for a fixed j is weakly decreasing as k increases. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that a row j of Y is mapped onto the same stack of boxes in a row $\equiv j \pmod{n+1}$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{K}(\infty)$. It is enough to check that each part of \mathbf{p} is mapped onto itself through $\Psi \circ \Phi$. Using (3.3) and (3.4), we see that it is the case. \blacksquare

For $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$, define $S_j(Y)$ be the set of distinct $N_{(n+1)m+j}(Y)$'s for $m \geq 0$; i.e., set

$$S_j(Y) = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \{N_{(n+1)m+j}(Y)\}.$$

When $j = n+1$, for each $m \geq 0$, define $(p_m, q_m) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$N_{(n+1)(m+1)}(Y) = (n+1)^{p_m} q_m,$$

with q_m not divisible by $n+1$. If $N_{(n+1)(m+1)}(Y) = 0$, then we put $(p_m, q_m) = (0, 0)$. We set

$$\mathcal{Q}(Y) = \left(\bigcup_{m \geq 0} \{(n+1)^s q_m : s = 0, 1, \dots, p_m - 1\} \right) \cup \{0\},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{P}(Y) = \sum_{\substack{t \geq 1 \\ (n+1) \nmid t}} \max \{p_m : q_m = t, m \geq 0\}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{N}(Y) = n\mathcal{P}(Y) + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \mathcal{Q}(Y)). \quad (3.5)$$

Proposition 3.14. *Assume that $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$, and let $\mathbf{p} = (\phi \circ \Psi)(Y) \in \mathcal{K}$, where ϕ is the unfolding map defined in the proof of Lemma 3.7. Then $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ is equal to the number of distinct parts in the Kostant partition \mathbf{p} ; i.e., we have $\mathcal{N}(Y) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p})$.*

Before we prove this proposition, we provide a pair of examples. In the first example, we do not have $\delta^{(k)}$ in $\Psi(Y)$, and in the second example, we have $\delta^{(k)}$ in $\Psi(Y)$. We will see how the formula for $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ works.

Example 3.15. Suppose that

$$Y = \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 2 \\ & & & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & & \end{array}.$$

Then $\mathbf{p} = (\phi \circ \Psi)(Y) = (\delta + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1) + (\delta_2) + (\alpha_2) + (\alpha_1)$, and the number of distinct parts is 4. On the other hand,

$$S_1(Y) = \{5, 0\}, \quad S_2(Y) = \{3, 1, 0\}, \quad S_3(Y) = \{1, 0\}.$$

Now setting $N_{3(m+1)}(Y) = 3^{p_m} q_m$ implies $(p_0, q_0) = (0, 1)$ and $(p_m, q_m) = (0, 0)$ for $m \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{Q}(Y) = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{P}(Y) = 0$. So

$$\mathcal{N}(Y) = 1 + 2 + 1 + 2 \cdot 0 = 4.$$

follows from (3.3) that the part $(r_m \delta + \alpha_{j-1}^{(s_m)})$ corresponds to q_m boxes in a row $\equiv j \pmod{n+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. Similarly, the part $((n+1)^i q_m \delta_j)$ corresponds to $(n+1)^{i+1} q_m$ boxes in a row $\equiv j \pmod{n+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $0 \leq i \leq p_m - 2$. Then the number of distinct parts in $(\phi \circ \Psi)(Y)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} np_m + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) + \#(S_{n+1}(Y) \setminus \{0, q_m, (n+1)^{p_m} q_m\}) \\ = np_m + \sum_{j=1}^n \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) + \#(S_{n+1}(Y) \setminus \{0, q_m\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since $S_{n+1}(Y)$ does not contain $(n+1)^i q_m$, $1 \leq i \leq p_m - 1$, by the assumption, the expression (3.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} np_m + \sum_{j=1}^n \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) \\ + \#(S_{n+1}(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) \\ = np_m + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) \\ = np_m + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \mathcal{Q}(Y)) \\ = \mathcal{N}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the number of distinct parts in $(\phi \circ \Psi)(Y)$ is $\mathcal{N}(Y)$.

Step 3: Next we assume $p_m = \max\{p_{m'} : m' \geq 0\}$ and $q_m = q_{m'}$ for any $p_{m'} \geq 1$. We have $\delta^{((n+1)^{p_{m'}-1} q_{m'})}$ in $\Psi(Y)$ for each $p_{m'} \geq 1$, and each $\phi(\delta^{((n+1)^{p_{m'}-1} q_{m'})})$ yields $np_{m'} + 1$ parts as in (3.6). However, we can see from (3.6) that $\phi(\delta^{(n+1)^{p_m-1} q_m})$ with the maximal p_m generates all the distinct parts including those from other $p_{m'}$, since $q_m = q_{m'}$ for all $p_{m'} \geq 1$ by the assumption. Then the number of distinct parts in $(\phi \circ \Psi)(Y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} np_m + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) \\ + \#(S_{n+1}(Y) \setminus \{0, q_m, (n+1)^{p_{m'}} q_m\}_{1 \leq p_{m'} \leq p_m}) \\ = np_m + \sum_{j=1}^n \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) \\ + \#(S_{n+1}(Y) \setminus \{0, (n+1)^i q_m\}_{0 \leq i \leq p_m - 1}) \\ = np_m + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \mathcal{Q}(Y)) = \mathcal{N}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Finally we consider the general case. We group p_m 's using the rule that p_m and $p_{m'}$ are in the same group if and only if $q_m = q_{m'}$. For each of such groups, we use the result in Step 3, and see that the number of distinct parts in $(\phi \circ \Psi)(Y)$

is equal to

$$n\mathcal{P}(Y) + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \mathcal{Q}(Y)),$$

recalling the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(Y) &= \sum_{\substack{t \geq 1 \\ (n+1) \nmid t}} \max \{p_m : q_m = t, m \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}(Y) &= \left(\bigcup_{m \geq 0} \{(n+1)^s q_m : s = 0, 1, \dots, p_m - 1\} \right) \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the number of distinct parts in $(\phi \circ \Psi)(Y)$ is $\mathcal{N}(Y)$. ■

Let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} and s_i ($i \in I$) be the simple reflections. We fix $\mathbf{h} = (\dots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \dots)$ as in Section 3.1 in [2]. Then for any integers $m < k$, the product $s_{i_m} s_{i_{m+1}} \cdots s_{i_k} \in W$ is a reduced expression, so is the product $s_{i_k} s_{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{i_m} \in W$. We set

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} s_{i_0} s_{i_{-1}} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Let $T_i = T''_{i,1}$ be the automorphism of $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$ as in Section 37.1.3. of [26], and let

$$\mathbf{c}_+ = (c_0, c_{-1}, c_{-2}, \dots) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\leq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{c}_- = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{> 0}$$

be functions (or sequences) that are almost everywhere zero. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_>$ (resp. by $\mathcal{C}_<$) the set of such functions \mathbf{c}_+ (resp. \mathbf{c}_-). For an element $\mathbf{c}_+ = (c_0, c_{-1}, \dots) \in \mathcal{C}_>$ (resp. $\mathbf{c}_- = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{C}_>$), we define

$$E_{\mathbf{c}_+} = E_{i_0}^{(c_0)} T_{i_0}^{-1} (E_{i_{-1}}^{(c_{-1})}) T_{i_0}^{-1} T_{i_{-1}}^{-1} (E_{i_{-2}}^{(c_{-2})}) \cdots$$

and

$$E_{\mathbf{c}_-} = \cdots T_{i_1} T_{i_2} (E_{i_3}^{(c_3)}) T_{i_1} (E_{i_2}^{(c_2)}) E_{i_1}^{(c_1)}.$$

We also define $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_+)$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_-)$) to be the number of nonzero c_i 's in \mathbf{c}_+ (resp. \mathbf{c}_-).

Let $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(2)}, \dots, \rho^{(n)})$ be a multi-partition with n components; *i.e.*, each component $\rho^{(i)}$ is a partition. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(n)$ the set of all multi-partitions with n components. Let $S_{\mathbf{c}_0}$ be defined as in [2, p. 352] for $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. For a partition $\mathbf{p} = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots r^{m_r} \cdots)$, we define

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}) = \#\{r : m_r \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{p}| = m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3 + \cdots. \quad (3.9)$$

Then for a multi-partition $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(2)}, \dots, \rho^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, we set

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\rho^{(1)}) + \mathcal{N}(\rho^{(2)}) + \cdots + \mathcal{N}(\rho^{(n)}).$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_> \times \mathcal{P}(n) \times \mathcal{C}_<$. We denote by \mathcal{B} the Kashiwara-Lusztig's canonical basis for $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$, the positive part of the quantum affine algebra.

Theorem 3.17 ([1, 2]). *There is a bijection $\eta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that for each $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_+, \mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_-) \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a unique $b \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying*

$$b \equiv E_{\mathbf{c}_+} S_{\mathbf{c}_0} E_{\mathbf{c}_-} \pmod{v^{-1} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]}. \quad (3.10)$$

Now the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c})$ is defined by $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_+) + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_0) + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_-)$ for each $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$. Using the canonical basis \mathcal{B} , H. Kim and K.-H. Lee expanded the product side of the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula as a sum, and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 3.18 ([17]). *We have*

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(\frac{1 - q^{-1} \mathbf{z}^\alpha}{1 - \mathbf{z}^\alpha} \right)^{\text{mult}(\alpha)} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} (1 - q^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(\eta(b))} \mathbf{z}^{\text{wt}(b)}. \quad (3.11)$$

In the rest of this section, we will prove a combinatorial description of the formula (3.11) using the set $\mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ of reduced proper generalized Young walls.

We define a map $\theta: \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ as follows. For $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(2)}, \dots, \rho^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, we define

$$\theta(\mathbf{c}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_{1,i}(\delta_i) + m_{2,i}(2\delta_i) + \dots + m_{r,i}(r\delta_i) + \dots,$$

where $\rho^{(i)} = (1^{m_{1,i}} 2^{m_{2,i}} \dots r^{m_{r,i}} \dots)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we define a map $\Theta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{c}) = \theta(\mathbf{c}_0) + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} c_i(\beta_i),$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_+, \mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_-)$, $\mathbf{c}_+ = (c_0, c_{-1}, c_{-2}, \dots)$, $\mathbf{c}_- = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$ and β_i is given by (3.8) with $(\beta_i) \in \mathcal{K}$.

Corollary 3.19. *The map $\Theta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a bijection, and for $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$, the number of distinct parts in $\mathfrak{p} = \Theta(\mathbf{c})$ is the same as $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c})$; i.e., $\mathcal{N}(\Theta(\mathbf{c})) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.17, the set \mathcal{C} parametrizes a PBW type basis of $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus the set \mathcal{C} also parametrizes a PBW basis of the universal enveloping algebra $U^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Now the first assertion follows from the fact that the Kostant partitions parametrize the elements in a PBW basis of $U^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and that the function Θ is defined according to these correspondences. The second assertion follows from the definitions of \mathcal{N} for \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{K} , respectively. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.20. *Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}$. Then*

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(\frac{1 - q^{-1} \mathbf{z}^\alpha}{1 - \mathbf{z}^\alpha} \right)^{\text{mult}(\alpha)} = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)} (1 - q^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(Y)} \mathbf{z}^{-\text{wt}(Y)}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ is defined in (3.5).

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, Proposition 3.13, Theorem 3.17 and Corollary 3.19, we have bijections

$$\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{K}(\infty) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{Y}(\infty).$$

For $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we write $Y = (\Phi \circ \psi \circ \Theta \circ \eta)(b) \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty)$. Then, by Proposition 3.14 and Corollary 3.19, we have $\mathcal{N}(\eta(b)) = \mathcal{N}(Y)$. We also see from the constructions that $\text{wt}(b) = -\text{wt}(Y)$. Now the equality (3.12) follows from Theorem 3.18. \blacksquare

4. CONNECTION TO BRAVERMAN-FINKELBERG-KAZHDAN'S FORMULA

We briefly recall the framework of the paper [3]. Let G (resp. \widehat{G}) be the minimal (resp. formal) Kac-Moody group functor attached to a symmetrizable Kac-Moody root datum and let \mathfrak{g} be the corresponding Lie algebra. There is a natural imbedding $G \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$. The group G has the closed subgroup functors $U \subset B$, $U_- \subset B_-$ such that the quotients B/U and B_-/U_- are naturally isomorphic to the Cartan subgroup H of G . We denote by \widehat{B} and \widehat{U} the closures of B and U in \widehat{G} , respectively. We will denote the coroot lattice of G by Λ and the set of positive coroots by $R^+ \subset \Lambda$. The subsemigroup of Λ generated by R^+ will be denoted by Λ^+ . For an element $\gamma = \sum a_i \alpha_i^\vee \in \Lambda^+$ with simple coroots α_i^\vee , we write $|\gamma| = \sum a_i$. We assume that G is “simply connected”, i.e. the lattice Λ is equal to the cocharacter lattice of H .

We set $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{F}_q((t))$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{F}_q[[t]]$, where \mathbf{F}_q is the finite field with q elements. We let $\text{Gr} = \widehat{G}(\mathcal{F})/\widehat{G}(\mathcal{O})$. Each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ defines a homomorphism $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow H(\mathcal{F})$. We will denote the image of t under this homomorphism by t^λ , and its image in Gr will also be denoted by t^λ . We set

$$S^\lambda = \widehat{U}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot t^\lambda \subset \text{Gr} \quad \text{and} \quad T^\lambda = U_-(\mathcal{F}) \cdot t^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}.$$

In a recent paper [3], Braverman, Finkelberg and Kazhdan defined the generating function

$$I_{\mathfrak{g}}(q) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda^+} \#(T^{-\gamma} \cap S^0) q^{-|\gamma|} z^\gamma, \quad (4.1)$$

and computed this sum as a product using a geometric method. Now we assume that the set of positive coroots R^+ forms a root system of type $A_n^{(1)}$, and we identify R^+ with the set of positive roots Δ^+ in the previous sections of this paper. In this case, the resulting product in [3] is

$$I_{\mathfrak{g}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} z^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} z^{j\delta}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(\frac{1 - q^{-1} z^\alpha}{1 - z^\alpha} \right)^{\text{mult}(\alpha)}.$$

We separate the factor

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} z^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} z^{j\delta}},$$

and call it the *correction factor*. Our goal of this section is to write this correction factor and the function $I_{\mathfrak{g}}(q)$ as sums over reduced proper generalized Young walls.

Let \mathcal{Y}_0 denote the subset of $\mathcal{Y}(\infty)$ consisting of the reduced proper generalized Young walls with empty rows in positions $\equiv 0 \pmod{n+1}$. We define a map $\xi: \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$ by the following assignment. If $\mathbf{p} = (\rho^{(1)}, \dots, \rho^{(n)})$ is a multi-partition, then the parts of the partition $\rho^{(j)}$ give the lengths of the rows $\equiv j \pmod{n+1}$ in a reduced proper generalized Young wall $\xi(\mathbf{p}) = Y \in \mathcal{Y}_0$. The following is clear from the definition.

Lemma 4.1. *The map $\xi: \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$ defined above is a bijection.*

Example 4.2. Let $n = 2$. If

$$\mathbf{p} = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right),$$

then the corresponding element in \mathcal{Y}_0 is

$$Y = \xi(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & 0 & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 1 \\ \hline & & & & 2 & 0 \\ \hline & & & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

For $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_0$, define

$$\mathcal{M}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)M_i(Y),$$

where $M_i(Y)$ is the number of nonempty rows $\equiv i \pmod{n+1}$ in Y . Moreover, we define $|Y|$ to be the total number of blocks in Y .

Example 4.3. Let Y be as in Example 4.2. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(Y) = 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 3 = 15 \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| = 15.$$

Let us consider $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ for $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_0$, where $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ is defined in (3.5). Since Y has empty rows in positions $\equiv 0 \pmod{n+1}$, we have $(p_m, q_m) = (0, 0)$ for all $m \geq 0$, and obtain $\mathcal{Q}(Y) = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{P}(Y) = 0$. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{N}(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \#(S_j(Y) \setminus \{0\}) \quad \text{for } Y \in \mathcal{Y}_0. \quad (4.2)$$

Proposition 4.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}} = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}_0} (1 - q)^{\mathcal{N}(Y)} q^{-\mathcal{M}(Y)} \mathbf{z}^{|Y|\delta}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}} &= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q) q^{-k(i+1)} \mathbf{z}^{kj\delta} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P}(1)} (1 - q)^{\mathcal{N}(\rho^{(i)})} q^{-(i+1)M(\rho^{(i)})} \mathbf{z}^{|\rho^{(i)}|\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\rho^{(i)}) = \#\{r : m_r \neq 0\}$ and $|\rho^{(i)}| = m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots$ are defined in (3.9) and we set $M(\rho^{(i)}) = m_1 + m_2 + \dots$ for $\rho^{(i)} = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots) \in \mathcal{P}(1)$. For a multi-partition $\rho = (\rho^{(1)}, \dots, \rho^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, define

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(\rho^{(i)}), \quad |\rho| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\rho^{(i)}| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)M(\rho^{(i)}).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\rho^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P}(1)} (1 - q)^{\mathcal{N}(\rho^{(i)})} q^{-(i+1)M(\rho^{(i)})} \mathbf{z}^{|\rho^{(i)}|\delta} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n)} (1 - q)^{\mathcal{N}(\rho)} q^{-\mathcal{M}(\rho)} \mathbf{z}^{|\rho|\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using the map ξ in Lemma 4.1, one can see that $\mathcal{N}(\rho) = \mathcal{N}(\xi(\rho))$, $\mathcal{M}(\rho) = \mathcal{M}(\xi(\rho))$ and $|\rho| = |\xi(\rho)|$ for $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, and the proposition follows from (4.3). \blacksquare

The following formula provides a combinatorial description of the affine Gindikin-Karpelevich formula proved by Braverman, Finkelberg and Kazhdan.

Corollary 4.5. *When \mathfrak{g} is an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathfrak{g}}(q) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{-i} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}}{1 - q^{-(i+1)} \mathbf{z}^{j\delta}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(\frac{1 - q^{-1} \mathbf{z}^{\alpha}}{1 - \mathbf{z}^{\alpha}} \right)^{\text{mult}(\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{(Y_1, Y_2) \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty) \times \mathcal{Y}_0} (1 - q^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(Y_1)} (1 - q)^{\mathcal{N}(Y_2)} q^{-\mathcal{M}(Y_2)} \mathbf{z}^{\text{wt}(Y_1) + |Y_2|\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, comparing (4.4) with (4.1), we obtain a combinatorial formula for the number of points in the intersection $T^{-\gamma} \cap S^0$:

Corollary 4.6. *We have*

$$\#(T^{-\gamma} \cap S^0) = \sum_{\substack{(Y_1, Y_2) \in \mathcal{Y}(\infty) \times \mathcal{Y}_0 \\ \text{wt}(Y_1) + |Y_2|\delta = \gamma}} (1 - q^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(Y_1)} (1 - q)^{\mathcal{N}(Y_2)} q^{|\gamma| - \mathcal{M}(Y_2)},$$

where $\gamma \in \Lambda^+$ is identified with the corresponding element of the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} .

Example 4.7. Assume $n = 1$ and $\gamma = \delta$. Then we have

$$(Y_1, Y_2) = \left(\emptyset, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \emptyset \right), \quad \text{or} \quad \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \emptyset \right).$$

From the first pair, we get $(1 - q^{-1})^0 (1 - q)^1 q^{2-2} = 1 - q$. The second yields $(1 - q^{-1})^1 (1 - q)^0 q^{2-0} = q^2 - q$, and the third $(1 - q^{-1})^2 (1 - q)^0 q^{2-0} = (q - 1)^2$. Thus we have

$$\#(T^{-\gamma} \cap S^0) = 1 - q + q^2 - q + (q - 1)^2 = 2(q - 1)^2.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Beck, V. Chari, and A. Pressley, *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 3, 455–487.
- [2] J. Beck and H. Nakajima, *Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 2, 335–402.
- [3] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, and D. Kazhdan, *Affine Gindikin-Karpelevich formula via Uhlenbeck spaces*, arXiv:0912.5132.
- [4] B. Brubaker, D. Bump, and S. Friedberg, *Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series: Type A combinatorial theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. AM-175, Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey, 2011.
- [5] D. Bump and M. Nakasuji, *Integration on p -adic groups and crystal bases*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 5, 1595–1605.

- [6] H. Garland, *Certain Eisenstein series on loop groups: convergence and the constant term*, Algebraic groups and arithmetic, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004, pp. 275–319.
- [7] S. G. Gindikin and F. I. Karpelevič, *Plancherel measure for symmetric Riemannian spaces of non-positive curvature*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **145** (1962), 252–255.
- [8] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **42**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [9] J. Hong and H. Lee, *Young tableaux and crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ for finite simple Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 3680–3693.
- [10] J. Kamnitzer, *Mirković-Vilonen cycles and polytopes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 245–294.
- [11] S.-J. Kang, *Crystal bases for quantum affine algebras and combinatorics of Young walls*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **86** (2003), no. 1, 29–69.
- [12] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.
- [13] ———, *On crystal bases*, Representations of Groups (Banff, AB, 1994) (Providence, R.I.), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, pp. 155–197.
- [14] ———, *Bases cristallines des groupes quantiques*, Cours Spécialisés **9**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [15] M. Kashiwara and T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 295–345.
- [16] M. Kashiwara and Y. Saito, *Geometric construction of crystal bases*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 1, 9–36.
- [17] H. H. Kim and K.-H. Lee, *Quantum affine algebras and q -deformations of arithmetical functions*, to appear in Pacific J. Math.
- [18] ———, *Representation theory of p -adic groups and canonical bases*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 2, 945–961.
- [19] J.-A. Kim and D.-U. Shin, *Generalized Young walls and crystal bases for quantum affine algebra of type A* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 11, 3877–3889.
- [20] R. Langlands, *Euler products*, Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn., 1971, A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1967, Yale Mathematical Monographs, 1.
- [21] H. Lee, *Realizations of crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ using Young tableaux and Young walls*, J. Algebra **308** (2007), no. 2, 780–799.
- [22] K.-H. Lee and B. Salisbury, *A combinatorial description of the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula in type A* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **119** (2012), 1081–1094.
- [23] P. Littelmann, *Paths and root operators in representation theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **142** (1995), no. 3, 499–525.
- [24] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498.
- [25] ———, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421.
- [26] ———, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. **110**, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [27] I. G. Macdonald, *Spherical functions on a group of p -adic type*, Ramanujan Institute, Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Madras, 1971, Publications of the Ramanujan Institute, No. 2.
- [28] ———, *A formal identity for affine root systems*, Lie groups and symmetric spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. **210**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 195–211.
- [29] P. J. McNamara, *Metaplectic Whittaker functions and crystal bases*, Duke Math. J. **156** (2011), no. 1, 29–31.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 599, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 151-747, KOREA

E-mail address: `sjkang@math.snu.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, 196 AUDITORIUM ROAD, UNIT 3009, STORRS, CT 06269-3009, USA

E-mail address: `khlee@math.uconn.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 599, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 151-747, KOREA

E-mail address: `sol8586@snu.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, 196 AUDITORIUM ROAD, UNIT 3009, STORRS, CT 06269-3009, USA

E-mail address: `benjamin.salisbury@uconn.edu`